


**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office Action dated 7 March 2006. Responsive to the Office Action, Claim 4 has been cancelled.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Chen reference. The Examiner, however, indicated that Claim 5 is allowable. Accordingly, Claim 4 has now been cancelled leaving Claim 5 as the only independent claim. Such cancellation of Claim 4 is made in the interest of expediting prosecution of this case, given the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. Such cancellation of Claim 4 is made, moreover, without addressing the merits of the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has now been placed fully in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

  
Morton J. Rosenberg  
Registration #26,049

Dated: 6 June 2006

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee  
Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678  
Customer No. 04586